Assessment of the lateral pterygoid plate fractures during Le Fort I osteotomies regarding the angulation of the osteotome

Aydin Gulses, Matthias Gierloff, Hasan Ayberk Altug, Cenk Kilic, Mustafa Ayna, Metin Sencimen, Yahya Açil

SUMMARY

Aim. This study aimed to clarify the relation between the angulation of the curved osteotome and fracture of the pterygoid plate during Le Fort I osteotomy.

Material and methods. Twenty-one specimens of hemisectioned Turkish skulls were used for the study. The maxilla was sectioned transversely on the floor of the pyriform aperture and posteriorly to the lateral pterygoid plate with a mechanical saw. The pterygomaxillary junction was separated with a curved osteotome by angulating the osteotome with, 0° and -30° to the occlusal plane. The undesired fractures of the lateral pterygoid plate were determined. Among 21 specimens, 7 pterygomaxillary junctions were separated with an angle of $+30^{\circ}$, 7 with 0° and 7 with -30° to the occlusal plane.

Results. In group $+30^{\circ}$, the undesired fracture occured in 6 of the cases. In group -30° , the undesired fracture was determines in one case. In cases where the separation was performed by placing the osteotome paralell to the occlusal plane all plates remained safe.

Conclusion. Within the limited knowledge of the current study it can be concluded that the osteotome should be placed paralell to the occlusal plane.

Key words: pterygoid plate, LeFort I, osteotome.

INTRODUCTION

Le Fort I osteotomy is a widely usedmaxillary surgical intervention for the correction of dentofacial deformities (1). During this procedure, pterygomaxillary disjunction via an osteotome is required for the complete mobilization of the maxilla.

 ¹Ankara Mevki Military Hospital, Centre for Oral & Dental Health, Ankara, Turkey
²Christian Albrechts University, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Kiel, Germany
³Gülhane Military Medical Academy Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Etlik Ankara, Turkey
⁴Gülhane Military Medical Academy Department of Anatomy, Etlik Ankara, Turkey
⁵Periodontology and Oral Surgery Clinic, Private Practice, Duisburg, Germany

Aydin Gulses¹ – D.D.S., PhD Matthias Gierloff² – D.D.S., PhD Hasan Ayberk Altug³ – D.D.S., PhD Cenk Kılıc⁴ – MD, Mustafa Ayna⁵ – D.D.S., PhD Metin Sencumen³ – D.D.S., PhD Yahya Açil² – professor

Address correspondence to Aydin Gulses, Ankara Mevki Military Hospital, 06130 Diskapi Ankara, Turkey. E-mail address: aydingulses@gmail.com Complication rates secondary to secondary fractures of Le Fort I osteotomy varies from 6.1% to 9.1% (2). In addition, it has been reported that many complications of Le Fort I osteotomy occur from unfavorable disjunction of the pterygoid plates from the posterior maxillary wall, including excessive bleeding (3, 4), cranial nerve injury (5-7), carotid artery injury (8) and blindness (9). According to Ueki et al. (11), anatomic variants of the skull base such as bony defects or incomplete ossifications, abnormally thick posterior walls of the maxilla and pterygoid plates could increase the risk of the above mentioned complications.

Dolanmaz et al. (12) have suggested that the incidence of complication was reported to be less for pterygomaxillary disjunction carried out with curved osteotomes. In addition, proper positioning of the curved osteotome in the pterygomaxillary fissure could avoid damaging the pterygoid plates and adjacent structures. It has been also strictily recommended that the pterygomaxillary disjunction osteotome should be placed parallel to the maxillary occlusal plane to avoid injuring the internal maxillary artery (13).



Fig. 1. Placement of the curved osteotome with an angle of $+30^{\circ}$ (Group A)



MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was based on twenty-one hemisectioned specimens of 11 embalmed adult cadaveric heads (10 male, 2 female) from body donation to the Anatomy Department of the Gulhane Military Medical Academy. There were 14 males and 2 females of undetermined age. None of the cadavers had any clinical evidence of a previous mandibular or facial trauma, surgery, tumor, or any other maxillofacial pathologic features. All specimens maintained enough complement of teeth in the maxilla enabling the identification of the maxillary ocllusal plane.

The cadavers were used after obtaining the appropriate consents and approvals. All methods for securing human tissue were humane and complied with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Surgical procedure

The upper lip was resected and a mucoperiosteal flap was elevated between the upper right first molar and upper left first molar in the buccal sulcus. A curved osteotome was placed in the pterygomaxillary fissureand the pterygomaxillary junction was separated by angulating the osteotome with,

A. +30° (Group A, n:7 hemi heads) (Figure 1);

B. 0° (Group B, n:7 hemi heads) (Figure 2);

C. -30° (Group C, n:7 hemi heads) (Figure 3) to the maxillary occlusal plane. Multiple blows with the mallet were performed for the separation. The control of the osteotomy was maintained by the palpation of the osteotome palatally at the pterygomaxillary junction while jiggling the osteotome at the osteotomy site. The unfavorable fractures of the lateral pterygoid plate were determined. Among 21



Fig. 2. Placement of the curved osteotome with an angle of 0° (Group B)

specimens, 7 pterygomaxillary junctions were separated with an angle of $+30^{\circ}$, 7 with 0° and 7 with -30° to the occlusal plane.

RESULTS

In group A (+30°), the undesired fracture occured in 6 of the cases. Four of these (Figure 4)were resulted from unproper disjunction of the upper third of the lateral pterygoid plate. The other two fractures were decompression-like fractures (Figure 5). In group C (-30°), the undesired fracture was determined in one case (decompression-like). In cases where the separation was performed by placing the osteotome paralell to the occlusal plane (Group B) all pterygoid plates remained intact (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

Many of the complications of the Le Fort I osteotomy have been attributed to unfavorable disjunction of the pterygoid plates from the posterior maxillarywall (Table 2) (2, 14). In Le Fort I osteotomy, following the detachment of the nasal septum from the nasal crest of the maxilla and the cut of the maxillary antral walls, the only remaining bony attachments preventing mobilization of the maxilla are the bilateral junctions between the pterygoid plates of the sphenoid bone and the pyramidal process of the palatine bones. It is extremely valuable avoiding this unfavorable fractures before they occur, because manipulation of the bones at the base of the skull during surgery may inadvertently cause damage to the vessels and nerves in the region, resulting in lifethreatening complications (15).

Several authors have indicated that understanding the anatomy of the pterygomaxillary junction region could help preventing blood loss in Le Fort I osteotomies. Sicher and Du Brull (16) have described a reinforcing pillar system in the maxilla







Fig. 4. Fracture of the lateral pterygoid plate resulting from unproper dysjunction

Fig. 5. Decompression-like fracture of the lateral pterygoid plates

and the pterygoid plates which mayresist horizontal fractures of the pterygoid plates during pterygomaxillary disjunction (2) In a radiological study of Ueki et al., (11) the importance of the CT examination to recognize the anatomy of pterygomaxillary region and the exact positions of descending palatine artery before Le Fort I osteotomy is emphasized. Hwang et al. (17) stated that preoperative computed tomography review of the thin pterygomaxillary region and concaved pterygomaxillary fissure is greatly helpful to the surgery.

Numerous studies have focused on the safety end effectiveness of down fracture in Le Fort I oste-

Table 1. The description of the data showed that the placement of the osteotome with an angle of +30 oresulted in unfavorable fracture of the pterygoid plates in 85. 7% of the hemi heads.

Group	Angulation	Hemi heads (n)	Fractured pterygoid plate (n)	Percentage
A	+30°	7	6	85.7%
В	0°	7	0	0%
С	-30°	7	1	14.2%

Table 2. Possible complications resulting from unfovavorable pterygomaxillarydisjunction (Adopted from Lanigan et al.1993 and Wilson et al, 2000).

- Major arterial bleedings resulting from the internal maxillary artery and its terminal branches (descending palatine and sphenopalatine arteries)
- · Major venous bleedings resulting from the pterygoid plexus
- Tears in muscles adjacent to the pterygoid plates resulting in venous and arterial bleeding.
- Epistaxis (anterior or posterior)
- Pseudoaneurysms and arteriovenous fistulas.
- Ophthalmic complications including complete visual loss
- Cranial nerve III and cranial nerve VI palsies
- Epiphora resulting from surgical trauma of the nasolacrimal duct

otomies performed with and without the separation of pterygomaxillary junction. Dolanmaz et al. (12) have stated that the use of osteotomes for pterygomaxillary disjunction increases the incidence of fractures in maxillary osteotomy. Besides that, Kamg et al. (18) have emphasized the importance of complete pterygomaxillary separation during Le Fort I osteotomies in avoidance of one of the most serious complication of the procedure: internal carotid artery injury. They have indicated that if a secure and complete pterygomaxillary separation is not achieved before maxillary down fracture, the presence of a bony protrusion that projected posteriorly from the base

of the sphenoid, lying inferior to the foramen lacerum and could pose a considerable risk to the internal carotid artery due to its size, shape, and location.

Trimble and Tideman (19) suggested a safer posterior osteotomy through the maxillary tuberosity posterior to the second molar after extraction of the third molar teeth. Separation of the maxilla from the skull base may also be achieved by the osteotome transecting the tuberosity, however, osteotomy through the tuberosity reduces unfavourable fractures of the pterygoid plates and also increases the safety margin by avoiding encroachment on structures of the sphenopalatine fossa (20). Therefore, ptery-

> gomaxillary disjunction remains still as a versatile component of Le Fort I procedures.

Previous studies have proclaimed that, forces generated during pterygomaxillary separation are the most likely cause of unfavorable secondary fractures (14, 21, 22). According to the results of the current study, in 6 of 7 cases, placing the osteotome with an angle of +30° resulted in undesired fractures. In four specimens, fracture has occured in upper third of the plates. Unsufficient separation of the pterygomaxillary junction might necessitated an application of an overabundant force during disjunction and resulted in this complication. Besides that, decompression fractures (two cases from the Group A and one case from the Group B) might be attributed to unproper placement of the osteotome which might resulted in excessive and

unbalanced force transduction direct to the plate during blowing with the mallet.

It is obvious that the discontinuity resulting from a decompression fracture could complicate a successfully pterygomaxillary disjunction. However, to our opinion, a fracture of the upper third of the pterygoid plate can cause more serious complications because of its close proximity to the the spheonpalatine fossa.

Might one conclude that, the results of the current study are controversial, thus forces generated during the maxillary osteotomy and downfracture were not measured and standardized. In the current study, same surgeon performed the multiple blows with the mallet for the separation to overcome the problem regarding the standardization of the force applied.

REFERENCES

- Bell W, Proffit W, White R. Surgical correction of dentofacial deformities. Vol. 1–2. Philadelphia: Saunders; 1980.
- Wilson MW, Maheshwari P, Stokes K, Wheatley MJ, McLoughlin S, Talbot M, et al. Secondary fractures of Le Fort I osteotomy. *Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg* 2000;16:258–70.
- Newhouse RF, Schow SR, Kraut RA, Price JC. Life-threatening hemorrhage from a Le Fort I osteotomy. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1982;40:117–9.
- Reaume CE, MacNicol BM. Complications encountered during LeFort I osteotomy in a patient with mandibulofacial dysostosis. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1988;46:1003–4.
- Watts PG. Unilateral abducent nerve palsy: a rare complication following a Le Fort I maxillary osteotomy. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1984;22:212–5.
- 6. Herold J, Falworth M. Sub total unilateral oculomotor nerve palsy in a Le Fort I osteotomy. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1996;34:104–6.
- 7. Reiner S, Willoughby JH. Transient abducens nerve palsy following a Le Fort I maxillary osteotomy: report of a case. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1988;46:699–701.
- Habal MB. A carotid cavernous sinus fistula after maxillary osteotomy. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1986;77:981–7.
- Girotto JA, Davidson J, Wheatly M, Redett R, Muehlberger T, Robertson B, et al. Blindness as a complication of Le Fort osteotomies: role of atypical fracture patterns and distortion of the optic canal. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1998;102:1409–21
- 10. Cruz AA, dos Santos AC. Blindness after Le Fort I osteotomy: a possible complication associated with pterygomaxillary separation. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2006;34:210–6.
- 11. Ueki K, Hashiba Y, Marukawa K, Okabe K, Alam S, Nakagawa K, et al. Assessment of pterygomaxillary separation in Le Fort I Osteotomy in class III patients. J

The thin pterygomaxillary region and less concave pterygomaxillary fissure draw precautionary attention to vulnerable pterygoid plates fractured in the procedure of Le Fort I osteotomy (17). The angulation of the curved osteotome plays a key role in avoidance of possible complications secondary to unfavorable fractures of the pterygoid plates. Within the limited knowledge of the current study, it can be concluded that the curved osteotome should be placed paralell to the maxillary occlusal plane.

Competing interest: none. **Funding source:** none.

Contribution: AG, HAA, MA and MŞ have designed the study, AG and CK has performed the dissections, AG, MG and YA have written and edited the paper.

Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009;67:833-9.

- 12. Dolanmaz D, Esen A, Emlik D, Candirli C, Kalayci A, Ciçekcibaşi A. Comparison of two different approaches to the pterygomaxillary junction in Le Fort I osteotomy. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2008;106:e1–5
- Cheng LH, Robinson PP. Evaluation of a swan's neck osteotome for pterygomaxillary dysjunction in the Le Fort I osteotomy. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1993;31:52–3.
- 14. Renick BM, Symington JM. Postoperative computed tomography study of pterygomaxillary separation during the Le Fort I osteotomy. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1991;49:1061–5.
- Lanigan DT, Romanchuk K, Olson CK. Ophthalmic complications associated with orthognathic surgery. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1993;51:480–94.
- 16. Sicher H, Du Brull. Oral anatomy. St. Louis: Mosby, 1970.
- 17. Hwang K, Lee DK, Chung IH, Lee SI. Le Fort I osteotomy with sparing fracture of lateral pterygoid plate. *J Craniofac Surg.* 2001;12:48–52.
- 18. Kang SY, Lin EM, Marentette LJ. Importance of complete pterygomaxillary separation in the Le fort I osteotomy: an anatomic report. *Skull Base* 2009;19:273–7.
- Trimble LD, Tideman H. A modification of the pterygoid plate separation in low-level maxillary osteotomies. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1983;41:544–6.
- 20. O'Regan B, Bharadwaj G. Prospective study of the incidence of serious posterior maxillary haemorrhage during a tuberosity osteotomy in low level Le Fort I operations. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007;45:538–42.
- 21. Wikkeling OME, Tacoma J. Osteotomy of the pterygomaxillary junction. *Int J Oral Surg* 1975;4:99–103.
- 22. Robinson PP, Hendy CW. Pterygoid plate fractures caused by the Leforte I osteotomy. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1986;24:198–202.

Received: 12 03 2012 Accepted for publishing: 20 06 2014