Maxillary Central Incisor Root Resorption due to Canine Impaction after Trauma. Is the Canine Substitution for Maxillary Incisors a suitable Treatment Option?
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SUMMARY

Objective. Canine impaction is a dental anomaly involving intraosseous displacement of the unerupted tooth. This situation is of significant importance causing orthodontic, surgical and interceptive problems. Trauma in the incisor area is a possible cause of the displacement of the tooth bud of the permanent canine resulting in the deviated eruption path. The aim of this article is to present two clinical cases with diagnosis of maxillary canine displacement and impaction in the incisor region after a traumatic injury during childhood, discussing treatment possibilities and outcomes achieved after orthodontic treatment.

Materials and methods. In this article ectopic maxillary canines migration in the central incisor area after a traumatic injury to the anterior upper teeth are described. The path of eruption of the canine can cause severe central incisor root resorption. Treatment strategy established for the case reports described involves orthodontic space opening, extraction of the central incisor, uncovering the impacted canine and orthodontic traction of the tooth in place of central incisor. Modification of crown morphology and color were essential to obtain an aesthetic and function outcome.

Results. The patients finished treatment with normal and stable occlusion, an adequate width of attached gingiva and good aesthetic results.

Conclusion. Authors suggest that might have been a possible connection between the trauma of primary dentition causing the displacement of the tooth bud of permanent canine and the deviated path of eruption. For the growing patients with a combination of dental trauma and ectopic eruption, maxillary canine to replace a central incisor was a viable option, offering excellent aesthetic results without recourse to prosthetic replacement.
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INTRODUCTION

Canine impaction is a dental anomaly involving intraosseous displacement of the unerupted tooth. This situation is of significant importance causing orthodontic, surgical and interceptive problems. The permanent central incisor is considered one of the most important teeth in terms of esthetics while the position of the permanent maxillary canine at the angle of the dental arch is important both in maintaining the symmetry, the harmony of occlusal relationship and from the functional point of view.

The maxillary canine is the second most frequently impacted tooth, with a prevalence ranging between 1% and 2% (1).

The aesthetic and functional importance of canines requires an early diagnosis in order to recognize tooth displacement and to predict the resulting failure in eruption. For this reason, eruption process should be monitored in order to identify the etiological factors that lead to impaction of the maxillary canines (2). The process causing the deviation of the eruption path seems to be related to the lack of guidance...
The medical history was unremarkable while the dental history evidenced a severe traumatic injury to the maxillary primary incisors at the age of 4. The parents provided photos documenting loss of the upper lateral primary incisor, extrusion of both incisors, subluxation and mobility of the left primary canine (Figure 1). As the extrusion of the central incisors interfered with mouth closure, they were extracted.

Extra-oral examination showed an ectomorphic face with a convex profile (Figure 2). Intra-oral examination showed the absence of both upper permanent canines, reduced maxillary width, Angle’s class II on both sides, midline deviations towards the left side and crowding of the mandibular incisor (Figures 3-4). Cephalometric analysis showed a skeletal Class I malocclusion with hyperdivergent growth pattern (Figure 5; Table 1). Orthopantomography showed right and left upper canines impaction and a severe root resorption of the upper left central incisor (Figure 6). Clinical examination revealed a III degree mobility of the incisor.

The purpose of this article is to present two clinical cases with diagnosis of maxillary canine displacement and impaction in the incisor region after a traumatic injury during childhood, discussing treatment, possibilities and outcomes achieved after orthodontic treatment.

**CASE REPORT 1**

The first case was an 11 years old girl visited in the Orthodontic Department of Milan University.
Written consent was obtained.

Objectives included the alignment of both dental arches and the achievement of facial and dental aesthetics by substituting the extracted central incisor with the canine. The central left permanent incisor was then extracted after maxillary expansion and the impacted canine was repositioned in the central incisor area. A modified palatal expander was realized with two bands on the first upper molars and a central screw was applied (Figure 7) (13). A vestibular arm extending from the upper left molar band to the central incisor, with two loops to support the orthodontic traction of the impacted canine, was constructed and bonded to the incisor before the expansion. The purpose was to gain space to the right side of the arch and prevent anterior movement of the midlines. The left vestibular arm was made with a distal eyelet and a mesial one, positioned near the impacted canine area.

The central screw was activated by a quarter turn twice a day for 14 days and then blocked with a ligature wire. The space created by palatal expansion allowed the repositioning of the teeth only on the side where there was lack of space near the impacted canine. The appliance was left for 6 months in order to stabilize transverse maxillary dimension. The central incisor was then extracted and the crown bonded of the midlines. The left vestibular arm was made with a distal eyelet and a mesial one, positioned near the impacted canine area.

The central screw was activated by a quarter turn twice a day for 14 days and then blocked with a ligature wire. The space created by palatal expansion allowed the repositioning of the teeth only on the side where there was lack of space near the impacted canine. The appliance was left for 6 months in order to stabilize transverse maxillary dimension. The central incisor was then extracted and the crown bonded of the midlines. The left vestibular arm was made with a distal eyelet and a mesial one, positioned near the impacted canine area.

The central screw was activated by a quarter turn twice a day for 14 days and then blocked with a ligature wire. The space created by palatal expansion allowed the repositioning of the teeth only on the side where there was lack of space near the impacted canine. The appliance was left for 6 months in order to stabilize transverse maxillary dimension. The central incisor was then extracted and the crown bonded of the midlines. The left vestibular arm was made with a distal eyelet and a mesial one, positioned near the impacted canine area.
to the arm of the appliance with composite resin to give the patient the aesthetics she required during canine extrusion. The left upper impacted canine was surgically exposed and bonded with a button and a ligature wire. A mucoperiosteal flap was raised and the crown of the impacted tooth was exposed using a round bur on low-speed handpiece and an intraosseous path was created. This procedure allowed the passage of the ligature wire to the unerupted tooth. The flap was then sutured into its original position. The surgical technique preserved a correct quantity of the attached gingiva. Orthodontic traction was directed between the inner and outer cortex allowing the impacted tooth to follow an eruptive path similar to the physiological one preserving the keratinized gingiva (14, 15). Orthodontic traction was performed with light forces, activated monthly (Figure 8).

When the canine was close to the dental arch, the expander was removed and a fixed multi-bracket appliance was positioned (Figure 9). Orthodontic traction was applied with light monthly-activated forces. The direction of force was adjusted so as to guide the impacted canine into the correct position. The canine was repositioned in the left central incisor area. The orthodontic attachments were removed when the correct alignment was achieved.

Probing showed periodontal health and a satisfactory occlusion was achieved (Figures 10-11). The orthopantomography revealed good parallelism of the roots in the upper anterior area (Figure 12). Aesthetic reconstruction of the left upper first premolar was performed to obtain a correct canine guidance and to camouflage the morphological characteristics of a canine.

Modification of crown morphology and color were essential to obtain reasonable esthetics. For these reasons, cosmetic reconstruction by veneer of the canine was performed (Figure 13). The patient shows an aesthetic smile at the end of the treatment (Figure 14).

**CASE REPORT 2**

The second case was a male patient (Figure 15) referred for an orthodontics consultation from his general dentist. The patient medical history was unremarkable. The dental history underlined a traumatic injury at the age of 6. Clinical and radiographic examination revealed a luxation with extrusion of the right central permanent incisor, luxation of the left permanent incisor and right upper primary canine (Figure 16, 17). At the time, the central right upper incisor was repositioned, and a splint was applied for one month to allow the teeth to stabilize (Figure 18). Extraoral examination showed a mesomorphic face, competent lips and a mild convex profile (Figure 15). The orthopantomography showed root resorption of the central upper right incisor caused by an ectopic eruption of the right upper permanent canine (Figure 19).

The intraoral examination revealed the absence of the first upper right canine (Figure 20), a reduced

---

Table 2. Cephalometric values of the second clinical case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAGITTAL MEASURES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNA: 84,5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNB: 79,5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB: 5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wits: +4 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DENTOALVEOLAR MEASURES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ILS^SN: 105°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILS^SNA: 110°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA^Go-Gn: 91°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VERTICAL MEASURES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SN^SNA: 12°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN^Go-Gn: 21°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP-SNA^GoGn: 19°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-SNA: 55 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA-Me: 81 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Me: 134 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Landmarks: S, sella; N, nasion; Go, gonion; A, Point A; B, Point B; Pog, pogonion; Gn, gnathion; Me menton; PNS, posterior nasal spine; U, tip of soft palate; Ptm, pterygomaxillary fissure; Ba, basion
mesial-distal width of the upper right lateral incisor, a midline deviation towards the right and a posterior crossbite on the right side. Cephalometric tracings showed a skeletal Class II (Table 2).

The same treatment alternatives proposed for the first patient were considered.

The objective of the treatment was to remove the central incisor with poor prognosis in order to allow the mechanical eruption and alignment of the ectopic maxillary canine, with the aim of substituting the incisor with a natural tooth and to maintain adequate gingival support during repositioning of the impacted canine. It was decided to expand the maxilla through a modified palatal expander. Written consent was obtained.

A rapid palatal expander with a vestibular arm extending from the upper left molar to the incisor was constructed and bonded to the incisor before expansion. The purpose was to save space on the right side of the arch and prevent anterior movement of the upper right incisor into the space created in order to obtain correct symmetry of the midline. The right vestibular arm was made with a distal eyelet and a mesial one, positioned near the impacted canine (Figure 21).

The central screw was activated by a quarter turn twice a day for 14 days. It was then blocked with a ligature wire. The space created by palatal expansion allowed the migration of dental elements only on the side where there was a lack of space near the impacted canine. The appliance was left in place for 6 months. A fixed orthodontic appliance was then applied. It was decided not to place the brackets on the right upper central and lateral incisor to avoid the movement of its root, which could cause the resorption of the root itself and/or impacted canine (Figure 22).

The central upper right incisor was extracted (Figure 23) due to severe root resorption during the surgical exposure of the upper right canine (Figure 24). The first left upper premolar was extracted so as to obtain the right symmetry of maxillary arch. The aim of surgical technique was to preserve a correct attached gingiva and it was performed as stated in the previous case report. The flap was then sutured into its original position. Orthodontic traction was directed between the inner and outer cortex, allowing the impacted tooth to follow an eruptive path similar to the physiological one preserving the keratinized gingiva (16). Orthodontic traction was applied with light monthly-activated forces (Figure 25). When the canine was...
close to the arch, a bracket for upper right incisor was placed on the canine: this has allowed the reduction of mesio-distal size of the canine respect to incisor (from a +8° tip to a +4° tip), and also provided a positive torque to the element, instead of a negative one (from a -7° torque to a +17° torque).

A bracket for an upper right canine was placed on upper left first premolar in order to increase the tip of the upper first premolar to assume the canine’s one (from a tip 0° to a tip +8°). A correct occlusal relationships were obtained.

A root canal therapy and crown reconstruction of the canine were made to give it the same appearance as a central incisor (Figures 26-28).

Root canal therapy was necessary because the tooth lost the vitality after extrusion.

A prosthetic solution using porcelain veneers to harmonize the color of anterior area was also proposed to the patient. However, he decided to postpone any later prosthetic solution.

An enamel recontouring of the right first premolar which was repositioned in the canine position was performed in order to obtain a correct canine guidance. In addition, a cosmetic reconstruction of upper right lateral incisor was performed to obtain a correct mesial-distal diameter.

**DISCUSSION**

Dental trauma has been presented as a factor affecting the further development of the successors to injured teeth. The effect of trauma to the incisors on canine impaction may be indirect, as suggested in the previously mentioned cases reports (3). Untreated impacted canines may result in several complications like displacement and loss of vitality of the adjacent incisors, shortening of dental arch, formation of follicular cysts, canine ankylosis, recurrent infections, internal and/or external resorption. A proper diagnosis and early intervention may influence any further treatment strategy in the final outcome.

Canine substitution for maxillary incisors is a suitable treatment option described in the literature (17). Campbell et al. state that canines offer a relatively favourable template to simulate a central incisor because they are closer in mesiodistal width to a central incisor and also in vertical height having more compatible gingival architecture. However, modifications to crown morphology and colour are essential to achieve aesthetic results (17).

In the first clinical case presented, the root of the left lateral incisor was shortened, probably as the result of the traumatic injury. This process could affect the path of eruption of the adjacent canine bud. However, after the injury, the left canine seemed to deviate from the normal eruption path, while the right canine continued to erupt into its normal position. In the second case presented, the root of the right central incisor was shortened and the misalignment of the anterior elements after the traumatic injury may have been responsible for loss of guidance to the erupting canine. The decision to extract the left premolar was in order to obtain the right symmetry of maxillary arch.

However, moving an impacted tooth also involves the risk of a loss of tooth vitality, discoloration, injury to adjacent teeth, alveolar bone loss, gingival recession which can lead to aesthetic problems or tooth loss.

To avoid such complications light and continuous orthodontic forces are advisable because they simulate the natural eruption of the tooth.

The orthodontic-surgical treatment is advisable compared to the surgical treatment alone because it is aimed at extruding the impacted tooth into proper position (18).

Both cases report successful treatment of surgical exposure of impacted canines followed by orthodontic traction and alignment in the upper central incisor position after extraction of this tooth.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Although substitution of maxillary canine for a central incisor is comparatively rare, the canine
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offers a suitable alternative to simulate a central incisor. A correct tip, torque and position of the canine are the keys for a correct treatment.
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